

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
2 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
3 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
4 Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
5 scalequalaccess@yahoo.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
DENNIS COOPER

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10
11 DENNIS COOPER,
12 Plaintiff,
13 vs.

14
15 ACECADO LIQUOR INC; YONG WON
16 CHOI, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CHOI
17 FAMILY 2008 TRUST; and DOES 1 to
18 10,
19 Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 Plaintiff DENNIS COOPER ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants ACECADO
27 LIQUOR INC; YONG WON CHOI, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CHOI FAMILY 2008
28 TRUST; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
3 paraplegic, suffers from immobility syndrome with chronic pain, and is substantially
4 limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when
5 traveling in public.

6 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
7 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a restaurant and
8 convenience store (“Business”) located at or about 14882 Slover Ave., Fontana,
9 California.

10 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
11 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
12 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
13 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
14 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such
15 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
16 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

17 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
18 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
19 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
20 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
21 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

22 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
23 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
24 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
25 and severally.

26 //

27 //

28 //

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et seq.*).

7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action, arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law, including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1, 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). Defendants reside in this district, Orange County, California.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. In or about May of 2023, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11. The Business is a restaurant and convenience store business establishment, open to the public, and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation. Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business included, but were not limited to, the following:

a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the International Symbol of Accessibility.

b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants

1 failed to post required signage such as “Van Accessible,” “Minimum
2 Fine \$250” and “Unauthorized Parking.”

3 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
4 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
5 failed to provide a proper accessible route as required.

6 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the
7 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and
8 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
9 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are
10 removed.

11 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
12 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
13 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
14 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

15 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
16 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
17 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

18 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
19 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
20 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
21 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
22 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
23 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

24 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

25 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

26 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
27 paragraphs in this complaint.
28

1 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
2 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
3 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
4 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
5 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

6 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 7 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
8 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
9 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
10 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
11 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
12 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
13 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 14 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
15 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
16 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
17 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
18 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
19 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
20 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
21 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 22 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
23 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
24 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
25 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
26 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
27 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
28 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

1 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
2 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
3 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
4 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
5 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
6 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
7 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
8 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
9 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
10 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
11 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

12 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
13 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
14 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
15 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
16 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
17 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

18 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
19 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
20 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
21 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
22 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
23 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
24 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
25 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
26 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
27 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.
28

1 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
2 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
3 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
4 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
5 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
6 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
7 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s expense...”
8 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

9 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the parking space identification sign with
10 the International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the
11 signs stating “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed
12 to provide the additional sign with the specific language stating “Unauthorized vehicles
13 parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special
14 license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner’s
15 expense...”

16 25. At least one accessible route shall connect accessible building, facilities,
17 elements, and spaces that are on the same site. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.3.2. A public
18 accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities
19 and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with
20 disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

21 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper accessible route from the van
22 accessible parking space designated for persons with disabilities to the entrance of the
23 Business.

24 27. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
25 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
26 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

1 34. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
2 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
3 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
4 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
5 51 and 52.

6 35. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
7 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
8 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

9 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

11 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13 37. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
14 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
15 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
16 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
17 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
18 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
19 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
20 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
21 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
22 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

23 38. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
24 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
25 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
26 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
27 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
28 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no

1 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be
 2 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
 3 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

4 39. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an
 5 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
 6 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
 7 the access of any person in violation of that act.

8 40. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
 9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
 10 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
 11 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

12 41. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
 13 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
 14 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

17 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 18 paragraphs in this complaint.

19 43. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
 20 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each
 21 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
 22 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
 23 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

24 44. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
 25 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
 26 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
 27 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
 28 require that "when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or

1 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
2 persons with disabilities.

3 45. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
4 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
5 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
6 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
7 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
8 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
9 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
10 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

11 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **NEGLIGENCE**

13 46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
14 paragraphs in this complaint.

15 47. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
16 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
17 to the Plaintiff.

18 48. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
19 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

20 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
21 has suffered damages.

22 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
24 Defendants as follows:

25 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
26 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

27 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
28 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

1 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition
2 practices;

3 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
4 action;

5 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

7 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

8 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
9 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

10
11 Dated: August 15, 2023

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

12
13
14 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
15 Jason J. Kim, Esq.
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28